

Global Attractors of Quasi-Linear Non-Autonomous Difference Equations

D. Cheban, C. Mammana, E. Michetti

Abstract. The article is devoted to the study of global attractors of quasi-linear non-autonomous difference equations. We obtain the conditions for the existence of a compact global attractor. The obtained results are applied to the study of a special triangular map $T : R_+^2 \rightarrow R_+^2$ describing a growth model with logistic population growth rate.

Mathematics subject classification: primary:34C35, 34D20, 34D40, 34D45, 58F10, 58F12, 58F39; secondary: 35B35, 35B40..

Keywords and phrases: Triangular maps, non-autonomous dynamical systems with discrete time, skew-product flow, global attractors, neoclassical growth model, endogenous population growth..

1 Introduction

The global attractors play a very important role in the qualitative study of difference equations (both autonomous and non-autonomous). The present work is dedicated to the study of global attractors of quasi-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n + F(u_n, \sigma(n, \omega)), \quad (1)$$

where Ω is a metric space (generally speaking non-compact), (Ω, Z_+, σ) is a dynamical system with discrete time Z_+ , $A \in C(\Omega, [E])$ and the function $F \in C(E \times \Omega, E)$ satisfies to "the condition of smallness" (see condition (ii) in Theorem 4). An analogous problem was studied by Cheban D. and Mammana C. [6] when the space Ω is compact and Cheban D., Mammana C. and Michetti E. [8] in general case.

The obtained results are applied while studying a special class of triangular maps describing a discrete-time growth model of the Solow type where workers and shareholders have different but constant saving rates and the population growth rate dynamic is described by the logistic equation (see Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E. [3]). The resulting system is given by $T = (T_2, T_1)$, where

$$T_2(u, \omega) = \frac{(1 - \delta)u + (u^\rho + 1)^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} (s_w + s_r u^\rho)}{1 + n}$$

and

$$T_1(\omega) = \lambda\omega(1 - \omega)$$

(for all $(u, \omega) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$), $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is the depreciation rate of capital, $s_w \in (0, 1)$ and $s_r \in (0, 1)$ are the constant saving rates for workers and shareholders respectively, $\rho \in (-\infty, 1)$, $\rho \neq 0$, is a parameter related to the elasticity of substitution between labor and capital.

This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we establish the relation between triangular maps and non-autonomous dynamical systems with discrete time.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of the existence of compact global attractors of skew-product dynamical systems. The sufficient conditions of existence of compact global attractors for skew-product dynamical systems with non-compact base is given (Theorem 2).

In Section 4 we study the linear non-autonomous dynamical systems with discrete time and prove that they admit a unique compact invariant manifold and its description is given (Theorem 3).

In Section 5 we prove the existence of compact global attractors of quasi-linear dynamical systems (Theorem 5) and give the description of the structure of these attractors (Theorem 6).

In Section 6 we give some applications of general results from sections 2-5 to the study of special class of the triangular maps $T : R_+^2 \rightarrow R_+^2$ describing a triangular growth model with logistic population growth rate as studied in Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E. [3].

2 Triangular maps and non-autonomous dynamical systems

Let W and Ω be two complete metric spaces and denote by $X := W \times \Omega$ its Cartesian product. Recall (see, for example, [16–18]) that a continuous map $F : X \rightarrow X$ is called triangular, if there are two continuous maps $f : W \times \Omega \rightarrow W$ and $g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $F = (f, g)$, i.e. $F(x) = F(u, \omega) = (f(u, \omega), g(\omega))$ for all $x =: (u, \omega) \in X$.

Consider a system of difference equations

$$\begin{cases} u_{n+1} = f(u_n, \omega_n) \\ \omega_{n+1} = g(\omega_n), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for all $n \in Z_+$, where Z_+ is the set of all non-negative integer numbers.

Along with system (2) we consider the family of equations

$$u_{n+1} = f(u_n, g^n \omega) \quad (\omega \in \Omega), \quad (3)$$

which is equivalent to system (2). Let $\varphi(n, u, \omega)$ be a solution of equation (3) passing through the point $u \in W$ for $n = 0$. It is easy to verify that the map $\varphi : Z_+ \times W \times \Omega \rightarrow W$ ($(n, u, \omega) \mapsto \varphi(n, u, \omega)$) satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\varphi(0, u, \omega) = u$ for all $u \in W$ and $\omega \in \Omega$;
2. $\varphi(n+m, u, \omega) = \varphi(n, \varphi(m, u, \omega), \sigma(m, \omega))$ for all $n, m \in Z_+$, $u \in W$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, where $\sigma(n, \omega) := g^n \omega$;

3. the map $\varphi : Z_+ \times W \times \Omega \rightarrow W$ is continuous.

Denote by (Ω, Z_+, σ) the semi-group dynamical system generated by positive powers of the map $g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, i.e. $\sigma(n, \omega) := g^n \omega$ for all $n \in Z_+$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

Recall [5, 19] that a triple $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ (or briefly φ) is called a cocycle over the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) with fiber W .

Let $X := W \times \Omega$ and (X, Z_+, π) be a semi-group dynamical system on X , where $\pi(n, (u, \omega)) := (\varphi(n, u, \omega), \sigma(n, \omega))$ for all $u \in W$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, then (X, Z_+, π) is called [19] a skew-product dynamical system, generated by the cocycle $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$.

Remark 1. Thus, the reasoning above shows that every triangular map generates a cocycle and, obviously, vice versa, i.e. having a cocycle $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ we can define a triangular map $F : W \times \Omega \rightarrow W \times \Omega$ by the equality

$$F(u, \omega) := (f(u, \omega), g(\omega)),$$

where $f(u, \omega) := \varphi(1, u, \omega)$ and $g(\omega) := \sigma(1, \omega)$ for all $u \in W$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. The semi-group dynamical system defined by the positive powers of the map $F : X \rightarrow X$ ($X := W \times \Omega$) coincides with the skew-product dynamical system, generated by cocycle $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$

Taking into consideration this remark we can study triangular maps in the framework of cocycles with discrete time.

Let (X, Z_+, π) (respectively, $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$) be a semi-group dynamical system (respectively, a cocycle).

A map $\gamma : Z \rightarrow X$ is called an entire trajectory of the semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, σ) passing through the point $x \in X$ (respectively, $u \in W$), if $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(n+m) = \pi(m, \gamma(n))$ for all $n \in Z$ and $m \in Z_+$.

Denote by $\Phi_\omega(\sigma)$ the set of all the entire trajectories of the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) passing through the point $\omega \in \Omega$ at the initial moment $n = 0$ and $\Phi(\sigma) := \bigcup \{ \Phi_\omega(\sigma) \mid \omega \in \Omega \}$.

A map $\mu : Z \rightarrow W$ is called an entire trajectory of the cocycle $\langle W, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ passing through the point $(u, \omega) \in W \times \Omega$, if $\mu(0) = u$ and there exists $\alpha \in \Phi_\omega(\sigma)$ such that $\mu(n+m) = \varphi(m, \mu(n), \alpha(n))$ for all $n \in Z$ and $m \in Z_+$.

Let Y be a complete metric space, (X, Z_+, π) (respectively, (Y, Z_+, σ)) be a semi-group dynamical system on X (respectively, Y), and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of (X, Z_+, π) onto (Y, Z_+, σ) . Then the triple $\langle (X, Z_+, \pi), (Y, Z_+, \sigma), h \rangle$ is called a non-autonomous dynamical system.

Let W and Y be complete metric spaces, (Y, Z_+, σ) be a semi-group dynamical system on Y and $\langle W, \varphi, (Y, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ be a cocycle over (Y, Z_+, σ) with the fiber W (or, by short, φ), i.e. φ is a continuous mapping of $Z_+ \times W \times Y$ into W satisfying the following conditions: $\varphi(0, w, y) = w$ and $\varphi(t + \tau, w, y) = \varphi(t, \varphi(\tau, w, y), \sigma(\tau, y))$ for all $t, \tau \in Z_+, w \in W$ and $y \in Y$.

We denote $X := W \times Y$ and define on X a skew product dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) by the equality $\pi = (\varphi, \sigma)$, i.e. $\pi(t, (w, y)) = (\varphi(t, w, y), \sigma(t, y))$ for all

$t \in Z_+$ and $(w, y) \in W \times Y$. Then the triple $\langle (X, Z_+, \pi), ((Y, Z_+, \sigma), h) \rangle$ is a non-autonomous dynamical system (generated by cocycle φ), where $h = pr_2 : X \mapsto Y$ is the projection on the second component.

3 Global attractors of dynamical systems

Let \mathfrak{M} be a family of subsets from X .

A semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) will be called \mathfrak{M} -dissipative if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ there exists $L(\varepsilon, M) > 0$ such that $\pi(n, M) \subseteq B(K, \varepsilon)$ for any $n \geq L(\varepsilon, M)$, where K is a certain fixed subset from X depending only on \mathfrak{M} . In this case K we will call an attracting set for \mathfrak{M} .

For the applications the most important ones are the cases when K is bounded or compact and $\mathfrak{M} := \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$ or $\mathfrak{M} := C(X)$, or $\mathfrak{M} := \{B(x, \delta_x) \mid x \in X, \delta_x > 0\}$, or $\mathfrak{M} := B(X)$ where $C(X)$ (respectively $B(X)$) is the family of all compact (respectively, bounded) subsets from X .

The system (X, Z_+, π) is called:

- point dissipative if there exists $K \subseteq X$ such that for every $x \in X$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\pi(n, x), K) = 0; \quad (4)$$

- compact dissipative if the equality (4) takes place uniformly w.r.t. x on the compact subsets from X ;

Let (X, Z_+, π) be a compact dissipative semi-group dynamical system and K be an attracting set for $C(X)$. We denote by

$$J := \Omega(K) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} \pi(m, K)},$$

then the set J does not depend of the choice of K and is characterized by the properties of the semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) . The set J is called a Levinson center of the semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) .

Theorem 1. [5] *Let (X, Z_+, π) be point dissipative. For (X, Z_+, π) to be compact dissipative it is necessary and sufficient that $\Sigma^+(K)$ be relatively compact for any compact $K \subseteq X$.*

Let E be a finite-dimensional Banach space and $\langle E, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ be a cocycle over (Ω, Z_+, σ) with the fiber E (or shortly φ).

A cocycle φ is called:

- dissipative, if there exists a number $r > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq r \quad (5)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u \in E$;

- uniform dissipative, if there exists a number $r > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega', |u| \leq R} |\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq r$$

for all compact subset $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ and $R > 0$.

Let (X, Z_+, π) be a dynamical system and $x \in X$. Denote by

$$\omega_x := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} \pi(m, x)}$$

the ω -limit set of point x .

Theorem 2. *The following statements hold:*

1. *if the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) and the cocycle φ are point dissipative, then the skew-product dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) is point dissipative;*
2. *if the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) is compact dissipative and the cocycle φ is uniform dissipative, then the skew-product system (X, Z_+, π) is compact dissipative.*

Proof. Let $x := (u, \omega) \in X := E \times \Omega$, then under the conditions of Theorem the set $\Sigma_x := \{\pi(n, x) : n \in Z_+\}$ is relatively compact and $\omega_x \subseteq B[0, r] \times K$, where $B[0, r] := \{u \in E : |u| \leq r\}$, r is a number figuring in the inequality (5) and K is a compact appearing in (4). Thus the semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) is point dissipative.

According to first statement of Theorem the skew-product dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) is point dissipative. Let M be an arbitrary compact subset from $X := E \times \Omega$, then there are $R > 0$ and a compact subset $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ such that $M \subseteq B[0, R] \times \Omega'$. Note that $\Sigma_M^+ := \{\pi(n, M) : n \in Z_+\} \subseteq \Sigma_{B[0, R] \times \Omega'}^+ := \{(\varphi(n, u, \omega), \sigma(n, \omega)) : n \in Z_+, u \in B[0, R], \omega \in \Omega'\}$. We will show that the set Σ_M^+ is relatively compact. In fact, let $\{x_k\} \subseteq \Sigma_M^+$, then there are $\{u_k\} \subseteq B[0, R]$, $\{\omega_k\} \subseteq \Omega'$ and $\{n_k\} \subseteq Z_+$ such that $x_k = (\varphi(n_k, u_k, \omega_k), \sigma(n_k, \omega_k))$. By compact dissipativity of system (Ω, Z_+, σ) and uniform dissipativity of the cocycle φ the sequences $\{\varphi(n_k, u_k, \omega_k)\}$ and $\{\sigma(n_k, \omega_k)\}$ are relatively compact and, consequently, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is so. Now to finish the proof it is sufficient to refer to Theorem 1. \square

4 Linear non-autonomous dynamical systems

Let Ω be a complete metric space and (Ω, Z_+, σ) be a semi-group dynamical system on Ω with discrete time.

Recall that a subset $A \subseteq \Omega$ is called invariant (respectively, positively invariant, negatively invariant) if $\sigma(n, A) = A$ (respectively, $\sigma(n, A) \subseteq A$, $A \subseteq \sigma(n, A)$) for all $n \in Z_+$.

Below in this section we will suppose that the set Ω is invariant, i.e. $\sigma(n, \Omega) = \Omega$ for all $n \in Z_+$. Let E be a finite-dimensional Banach space with the norm $|\cdot|$

and W be a complete metric space. Denote by $[E]$ the space of all linear continuous operators on E and by $C(\Omega, W)$ the space of all the continuous functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow W$ endowed with the compact-open topology, i.e. the uniform convergence on compact subsets in Ω . The results of this section will be used in the next sections.

Consider a linear equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n \quad (\omega \in \Omega) \quad (6)$$

and an inhomogeneous equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n + f(\sigma(n, \omega)), \quad (7)$$

where $A \in C(\Omega, [E])$ and $f \in C(\Omega, E)$.

Recall that a linear bounded operator $P : E \rightarrow E$ is called a projection, if $P^2 = P$, where $P^2 := P \circ P$.

Let $U(n, \omega)$ be the Cauchy operator of linear equation (6). Following [10] we will say that equation (6) has an exponential dichotomy on Ω , if there exists a continuous projection valued function $P : \Omega \rightarrow [E]$ satisfying:

1. $P(\sigma(n, \omega))U(n, \omega) = U(n, \omega)P(\omega)$;
2. $U_Q(n, \omega)$ is invertible as an operator from $ImQ(\omega)$ to $ImQ(\sigma(n, \omega))$, where $U_Q(n, \omega) := U(n, \omega)Q(\omega)$;
3. there exist constants $0 < q < 1$ and $N > 0$ such that

$$\|U_P(n, \omega)\| \leq Nq^n \text{ and } \|U_Q(n, \omega)^{-1}\| \leq Nq^n$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $U_P(n, \omega) := U(n, \omega)P(\omega)$.

Let $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\gamma_\omega \in \Phi_\omega(\sigma)$. Consider a difference equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\gamma_\omega(n))u_n + f(\gamma_\omega(n)), \quad (8)$$

and the corresponding homogeneous linear equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\gamma_\omega(n))u_n \quad (\omega \in \Omega). \quad (9)$$

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space with distance ρ . Denote by $C(Z, X)$ the space of all the functions $f : Z \rightarrow X$ equipped with a pointwise topology. This topology can be metricised. For example, by the equality

$$d(f_1, f_2) := \sum_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_n(f_1, f_2)}{1 + d_n(f_1, f_2)},$$

where $d_n(f_1, f_2) := \max\{\rho(f_1(k), f_2(k)) \mid k \in [-n, n]\}$, a distance is defined on $C(Z, X)$ which generates the pointwise topology.

If $x \in X$ and $A, B \subseteq X$, then denote by $\rho(x, A) := \inf\{\rho(x, a) \mid a \in A\}$ and $\beta(A, B) := \sup\{\rho(a, B) \mid a \in A\}$ the semi-distance of Hausdorff.

Denote by $C(X)$ (respectively, $B(X)$) the family of all compact (respectively, bounded) subsets from X , $C(\Omega, E)$ the space of all the continuous functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$, $C_b(\Omega, E) := \{f \in C(\Omega, E) : \|f\| := \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |f(\omega)| < +\infty\}$. Note that the space $C_b(\Omega, E)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that the linear equation (6) has an exponential dichotomy on Ω . Then for $f \in C_b(\Omega, E)$ the following statements hold:*

1. *the set $I_\omega := \{u \in E \mid \exists \gamma_\omega \in \Phi_\omega \text{ such that equation (8) admits a bounded solution } \psi_\omega \text{ defined on } Z \text{ with the initial condition } \psi_\omega(0) = u\}$ is nonempty and compact;*
2. *$\varphi(n, I_\omega, \omega) = I_{\sigma(n, \omega)}$ for all $n \in Z_+$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, where $\varphi(n, u, \omega)$ is a solution of equation (7) with the condition $\varphi(0, u, \omega) = u$ and $\varphi(n, M, \omega) := \{\varphi(n, u, \omega) \mid u \in M\}$;*
3. *the map $\omega \rightarrow I_\omega$ is upper-semicontinuous, i.e.*

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \omega_0} \beta(I_\omega, I_{\omega_0}) = 0$$

for every $\omega_0 \in \Omega$, where β is the semi-distance of Hausdorff;

4. *if Ω is compact, then the set $I := \bigcup\{I_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ is also compact.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Omega$. Since Ω is invariant, the set $\Phi_\omega(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$. We fix $\gamma_\omega \in \Phi_\omega(\sigma)$. Under the conditions of Theorem 3 equation (9) has an exponential dichotomy on Ω with the same constants N and q that in equation (6). Then equation (8) admits the unique solution $\nu_{\gamma_\omega} : Z \rightarrow E$ with the condition

$$\|\nu_{\gamma_\omega}\|_\infty \leq N \frac{1+q}{1-q} \|f(\nu_{\gamma_\omega}(\cdot))\|_\infty \leq N \frac{1+q}{1-q} \|f\|, \quad (10)$$

where $\|f\| := \sup\{|f(\omega)| \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\|\nu_\omega\|_\infty := \sup\{|\nu_\omega(n)| \mid n \in Z\}$ (see, for example, [11, 15]). Thus, the set I_ω is not empty. From the continuity of the function $\varphi : Z_+ \times E \times \Omega \rightarrow E$ and inequality (10) follows that the set I_ω is closed, bounded and

$$|u| \leq N \frac{1+q}{1-q} \|f\|$$

for all $u \in I_\omega$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

The second statement of the theorem follows from the equality $S_h(\Phi_\omega(\sigma)) = \Phi_{\sigma(h, \omega)}(\sigma)$ ($h \in Z$), where $S_h \gamma_\omega$ is an h -translation of the trajectory γ_ω , i.e. $S_h \gamma_\omega(n) := \gamma_\omega(n+h)$ for all $n \in Z$.

We will prove now the third statement. Let $\omega_0 \in \Omega$, $\omega_k \rightarrow \omega_0$, $u_k \in I_{\omega_k}$ and $u_k \rightarrow u$. To prove our statement it is sufficient to show that $u \in I_{\omega_0}$. Since $u_k \in I_{\omega_k}$,

there is a trajectory $\gamma_{\omega_k} \in \Phi_{\omega_k}(\sigma)$ such that γ_{ω_k} converges to $\gamma_{\omega_0} \in \Phi_{\omega_0}(\sigma)$ in $C(Z, \Omega)$ and the equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\gamma_{\omega_k}(n))u_n + f(\gamma_{\omega_k}(n)) \quad (11)$$

has a solution $\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}$ with the initial condition $\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}(0) = u_k$ and satisfying inequality (10), i.e.

$$|\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}(n)| \leq N \frac{1+q}{1-q} \|f(\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}})\|_{\infty} \leq N \frac{1+q}{1-q} \|f\| \quad (12)$$

for all $n \in Z$ and $k \in N$. We will show that the sequence $\{\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}(n)\}$ converges for every $n \in Z$. In fact, by Tihonoff theorem the sequence $\{\nu_{\omega_k}\} \subset C(Z, E)$ is relatively compact. From equality (11) and inequality (12) follows that every limit point of the sequence $\{\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}\}$ is a (bounded on Z) solution of the equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\gamma_{\omega_0}(n))u_n + f(\gamma_{\omega_0}(n)). \quad (13)$$

Taking into account that equation (13) admits a unique solution bounded on Z , we obtain the convergence of the sequence $\{\nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}\}$ in the space $C(Z, E)$. We put $\nu_0 := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \nu_{\gamma_{\omega_k}}$. It is easy to see that $\nu_0(0) = u$ and, consequently, $u \in I_{\omega_0}$.

To prove the fourth assertion it is sufficient to remark that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ the set I_{ω} is compact, the map $F : \omega \rightarrow I_{\omega}$ ($F(\omega) := I_{\omega}$) is upper-semicontinuous and, consequently, the set $I := \bigcup \{I_{\omega} \mid \omega \in \Omega\} = F(\Omega)$ is compact. The theorem is completely proved. \square

5 Global attractors of quasi-linear triangular systems

Consider a difference equation

$$u_{n+1} = f(u_n, \sigma(n, \omega)) \quad (\omega \in \Omega). \quad (14)$$

Denote by $\varphi(n, u, \omega)$ a unique solution of equation (14) with the initial condition $\varphi(0, u, \omega) = u$.

Equation (14) is said to be dissipative (respectively, uniform dissipative), if there exists a positive number r such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq r \quad (\text{respectively, } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega', |u| \leq R} |\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq r)$$

for all $u \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ (respectively, for all $R > 0$ and $\Omega' \in C(\Omega)$).

Consider a quasi-linear equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n + F(u_n, \sigma(n, \omega)), \quad (15)$$

where $A \in C(\Omega, [E])$ and the function $F \in C(E \times \Omega, E)$ satisfies to "the condition of smallness" (condition (ii) in Theorem 4).

Denote by $U(k, \omega)$ the Cauchy matrix for the linear equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n.$$

Theorem 4. *Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

1. *there are positive numbers N and $q < 1$ such that*

$$\|U(n, \omega)\| \leq Nq^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+); \quad (16)$$

2. *$|F(u, \omega)| \leq C + D|u|$ ($C \geq 0$, $0 \leq D < (1 - q)N^{-1}$) for all $u \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.*

Then equation (15) is uniform dissipative.

Proof. Let $\varphi(\cdot, u, \omega)$ be the solution of equation (14) passing through the point $u \in E$ for $n = 0$. According to the formula of the variation of constants (see, for example, [14] and [15]) we have

$$\varphi(n, u, \omega) = U(n, \omega)u + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} U(n - m - 1, \omega)F(\varphi(m, u, \omega), \sigma(m, \omega)),$$

and, consequently,

$$|\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq Nq^n|u| + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} q^{n-m-1}(C + D|\varphi(m, u, \omega)|). \quad (17)$$

We set $u(n) := q^{-n}|\varphi(n, u, \omega)|$ and, taking into account (17), obtain

$$u(n) \leq N|u| + CNq^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} q^{-m} + DNq^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} u(m). \quad (18)$$

Denote the right hand side of inequality (18) by $v(n)$. Note, that

$$v(n+1) - v(n) = q^{-n} \frac{CN}{q} + \frac{DN}{q} u(n) \leq \frac{DN}{q} v(n) + \frac{CN}{q} q^{-n},$$

and, hence,

$$v(n+1) \leq \left(1 + \frac{DN}{q}\right) v(n) + \frac{CN}{q} q^{-n}.$$

From this inequality we obtain

$$v(n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{DN}{q}\right)^{n-1} v(1) + \frac{CN}{q} \frac{1 - q^{n-1}}{1 - q}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq (q + DN)^{n-1} qN|u| + \frac{CN}{q-1} (q^{n-1} - 1), \quad (19)$$

because $v(1) = N|u|$. From (19) follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega', |u| \leq R} |\varphi(n, u, \omega)| \leq \frac{CN}{1 - q}$$

for all $R > 0$ and $\Omega' \in C(\Omega)$. The theorem is proved. \square

Let $\langle E, \varphi, (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ be a cocycle over (Ω, Z_+, σ) with the fiber E .

A family $\{I_\omega \mid \omega \in J_\Omega\}$ of nonempty compact subsets $I_\omega \subset E$ is called a compact global attractor of the cocycle φ , if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) is compact dissipative;
2. the set $I := \bigcup\{I_\omega \mid \omega \in J_\Omega\}$ is relatively compact, where J_Ω is the Levinson center of (Ω, Z_+, σ) ;
3. the family $I := \{I_\omega \mid \omega \in J_\Omega\}$ is invariant with respect to the cocycle φ , i.e. $\bigcup\{\varphi(n, I_q, q) \mid q \in (\sigma^n)^{-1}(\sigma(n, \omega))\} = I_{\sigma(n, \omega)}$ for all $n \in Z_+$ and $\omega \in J_\Omega$, where $\sigma^n := \sigma(n, \cdot)$;
4. the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega'} \beta(\varphi(n, K, \omega), I) = 0$$

takes place for every $K \in C(E)$ and $\Omega' \in C(\Omega)$, where $C(E)$ (respectively, $C(\Omega)$) is a family of compact subsets from E (respectively, Ω).

Lemma 1. *The cocycle φ is compact dissipative if and only if the skew-product system (X, Z_+, π) ($X := E \times \Omega$ and $\pi := (\varphi, \sigma)$) is so.*

Proof. This statement follows directly from the correspondig definitions. \square

Theorem 5. *Let (Ω, Z_+, σ) be a compact dissipative system and φ be a cocycle generated by equation (15). Under the conditions of Theorem 4 the skew-product system (X, Z_+, π) ($X := E \times \Omega$ and $\pi := (\varphi, \sigma)$), generates by cocycle φ admits a compact global attractor.*

Proof. This statement follows directly from Theorems 4, 2 and Lemma 1. \square

Remark 2. Simple examples show that under the conditions of Theorem 5 the compact global attractor $\{I_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$, generally speaking, is not trivial, i.e. the component set I_ω contains more than one point. This statement can be illustrated by the following example: $u_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}u_n + \frac{2u_n}{1+u_n^2}$.

Theorem 6. *Let $A \in C(\Omega, [E])$ and $F \in C(E \times \Omega, E)$ and the following conditions be fulfilled:*

1. *the semi-group dynamical system (Ω, Z_+, σ) is compact dissipative and J_Ω its Levinson center;*
2. *there exist positive numbers N and $q < 1$ such that inequality (16) holds;*
3. *there exists $C > 0$ such that $|F(0, \omega)| \leq C$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;*
4. *$|F(u_1, \omega) - F(u_2, \omega)| \leq L|u_1 - u_2|$ ($0 \leq L < N^{-1}(1 - q)$) for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u_1, u_2 \in E$.*

Then

1. the equation (15) (the cocycle φ generated by this equation) admits a compact global attractor;
2. there are two positive constants \mathcal{N} and $\nu < 1$ such that

$$|\varphi(n, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(n, u_2, \omega)| \leq \mathcal{N}\nu^n |u_1 - u_2| \quad (20)$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. First step. We will prove that under the conditions of Theorem 6 equation (15) admits a compact global attractor $I = \{I_\omega \mid \omega \in J_\Omega\}$. In fact,

$$|F(u, \omega)| \leq |F(0, \omega)| + L|u| \leq C + L|u|$$

for all $u \in E$, where $C := \sup\{|F(0, \omega)| \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$. According to Theorems 2 and 4, equation (15) admits a compact global attractor $I = \{I_\omega \mid \omega \in J_\Omega\}$.

Second step. Let φ be the cocycle generated by equation (15). In virtue of the formula of the variation of constants, we have

$$\varphi(n, u, \omega) = U(n, \omega)u + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} U(n-m-1, \omega)F(\varphi(m, u, \omega), \sigma(m, \omega)).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(n, u_2, \omega) &= U(n, \omega)(u_1 - u_2) + \\ &\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} U(n-m-1, A)[F(\varphi(m, u_1, \omega), \sigma(m, \omega)) - F(\varphi(m, u_2, \omega), \sigma(m, \omega))]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(n, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(n, u_2, \omega)| &\leq Nq^n(|u_1 - u_2| \\ &+ Lq^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} q^{-m} |\varphi(m, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(m, u_2, \omega)|). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let $u(n) := |\varphi(n, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(n, u_2, \omega)|q^{-n}$. From (21) follows that

$$u(n) \leq N \left(|u_1 - u_2| + Lq^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} u(m) \right). \quad (22)$$

Denote by $v(n)$ the right hand side of (22). The following inequality

$$v(n+1) - v(n) = LNq^{-1}u(n) \leq LNq^{-1}v(n). \quad (23)$$

holds. From (23) we obtain

$$v(n) \leq (1 + LNq^{-1})^{n-1}v(1)$$

and, since $v(1) = N|u_1 - u_2|$, we get

$$u(n) \leq (1 + LNq^{-1})N|u_1 - u_2|. \quad (24)$$

From (24) we have

$$|\varphi(n, u_1, \omega) - \varphi(n, u_2, \omega)| \leq (q + LN)^{n-1}qN|u_1 - u_2| \quad (25)$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

To finish the proof of Theorem it is sufficient to put $\nu := q + LN$ and $\mathcal{N} := qN(q + LN)^{-1}$. The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3. It is possible to show that under the conditions of Theorems 3 and 6 the set I_ω contains a single point (for all $\omega \in J_\Omega$) if the mapping $\sigma(1, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is invertible. If the mapping $\sigma(1, \cdot)$ is not invertible, then the set I_ω may be very complicated (for example I_ω may be a Cantor set). Below we give an example which confirms this statement.

Example 1. Let $Y := [-1, 1]$ and (Y, Z_+, σ) be a cascade generated by positive powers of the odd function g , defined on $[0, 1]$ in the following way:

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} -2y & , \quad 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(y-1) & , \quad \frac{1}{2} < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $g(Y) = Y$. Let us put $X := R \times Y$ and denote by (X, Z_+, π) a semi-group dynamical system generated by the positive powers of the mapping $P : X \rightarrow X$

$$P \begin{pmatrix} u \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(u, y) \\ g(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where $f(u, y) := \frac{1}{10}u + \frac{1}{2}y$. Finally, let $h = pr_2 : X \rightarrow Y$. From (26), it follows that h is a homomorphism of (X, Z_+, π) onto (Y, Z_+, σ) and, consequently, $\langle (X, Z_+, \pi), (Y, Z_+, \sigma), h \rangle$ is a non-autonomous dynamical system. Note that

$$|(u_1, y) - (u_2, y)| = |u_1 - u_2| = 10|P(u_1, y) - P(u_2, y)|. \quad (27)$$

From (27), it follows that

$$|P^n(u_1, y) - P^n(u_2, y)| \leq \mathcal{N}e^{-\nu n}|(u_1, y) - (u_2, y)| \quad (28)$$

for all $n \in Z_+$, where $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\nu = \ln 10$. By Theorem 6 the cocycle $\langle R, \varphi, (Y, Z_+, \sigma) \rangle$ admits a compact global attractor $I := \{I_y : y \in Y\}$ and φ is exponentially convergent, i.e. the inequality (20) takes place. According to [18, p.43] I_y is homeomorphic to the Cantor set for all $y \in [-1, 1]$.

Remark 4. 1. If Ω is a compact metric space the close results (Sections 2-5) were established in [6].

2. The results of Sections 2-5 are true also in the case we replace the finite-dimensional Banach space E by its closed subset.

6 Applications

6.1 The model

The model we consider is a particular case of the growth model by Solow; it has been obtained while considering the standard, neoclassical one-sector growth model where the two types of agents, workers and shareholders, have different but constant saving rates as in Bohm V. and Kaas L. [4] and where the production function $F : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, mapping capital per worker k into output per worker y , is of the CES type (as in Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E. [1] and [2]), that is given by

$$F(u) = (1 + u^\rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \quad (29)$$

However in the present work we add a further assumption, that is the population growth rate evolves according to the logistic law, as also considered in Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E. [3].

The resulting system, $T = (\omega', u')$, describing capital per worker (u) and population growth rate (ω) dynamics, is given by:

$$T := \begin{cases} u' = \frac{1}{1+\omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + (u^\rho + 1)^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} (s_w + s_r u^\rho) \right] \\ \omega' = \lambda\omega(1 - \omega) \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is the depreciation rate of capital, $s_w \in (0, 1)$ and $s_r \in (0, 1)$ are the constant saving rates for workers and shareholders respectively, $\rho \in (-\infty, 1)$, $\rho \neq 0$ is a parameter related to the elasticity of substitution between labor and capital (the elasticity of substitution between the two production factors is given by $\frac{1}{1-\rho}$) and, finally, $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ for the dynamics generated by the logistic map not being explosive.

We get a discrete-time dynamical system described by the iteration of a map of the plane of triangular type. In fact the second component of the previous system does not depend on k , therefore the map is characterized by the triangular structure:

$$T := \begin{cases} u' = g(u, \omega) \\ \omega' = f(\omega) \end{cases}. \quad (31)$$

As a consequence, the dynamics of the map T are influenced by the dynamics of the uni-dimensional map $f(n)$, that is the well-known logistic map.

6.2 Dynamics of the logistic map $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$.

We recall some general results for map f_λ (see, for example, [20]). For $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ the map f_λ acts from interval $[0, 1]$ into itself and, consequently, it admits a compact global attractor $I_\lambda \subseteq [0, 1]$. Since I_λ is connected (see, for example, Theorem 1.33 [5]) and $0 \in I_\lambda$, then $I_\lambda = [0, a_\lambda]$ ($a_\lambda \leq 1$).

1. If $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0 := 1$, then $I_\lambda = \{0\}$.
2. If $\lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_1 := 3$, then the map f_λ has two fixed points: $x = 0$ is a repelling fixed point and $p_0 = 1 - 1/\lambda$ is an attracting fixed point. If $x \in I_\lambda \setminus \{0, p_0\}$, then $\alpha_x = 0$ and $\omega_x = p_0$.
3. If $\lambda_1 < \lambda \leq \lambda_2 := 1 + \sqrt{6}$, then the map f_λ has one repelling fixed point $x = 0$ and there is an attracting 2-periodic point p_1 .
4. There exists a increasing sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that
 - (a) $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\lambda_\infty \approx 3,569\dots$
 - (b) If $\lambda_k < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$), then the map f_λ has one repelling fixed point $x = 0$ there is an attracting 2^k -periodic point p_k .
5. For all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_\infty$ the structure of the attractor I_λ is sufficiently simple. Every trajectory is asymptotically periodic. There exists a unique attracting 2^m -periodic point p (the number m depends of λ) which attracts all trajectory from $[0, 1]$, except for a countably set of points. For $\lambda \geq \lambda_\infty$ the attractor I_λ is more complicated, in particular, it may be a strange attractor (see [20]).

Let (X, Z_+, π) be a semi-group dynamical system with discrete time.

A number m is called an ε -almost period of the point x , if $\rho(\pi(m+n, x), \pi(n, x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in Z_+$.

The point x is called almost periodic, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number $l \in Z_+$ such that on every segment (in Z_+) of length l there may be found an ε -almost period of the point x .

- (vi) Denote by $Per(f_\lambda)$ the set of all periodic points of f_λ . If $\lambda = \lambda_\infty$, then the map f_λ has the 2^i -periodic point p_i for all $i \in Z_+$ (all the points p_i are repelling). The boundary $K = \partial Per(f_\lambda)$ of set $P(f_\lambda)$ is a Cantor set. The set K is an almost periodic minimal and it does not contain a periodic points. The set K attracts all trajectory from $[0, 1]$, except for a countably set of points $P = \cup_{i=0}^\infty f_\lambda^{-i}(Per(f_\lambda))$. If $x \in [0, 1] \setminus P$, then $\omega_x = K$ (see [20]).

6.3 Existence of an attractor for $\rho \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Lemma 2. *Let $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ be a triangular map admitting a compact global attractor $J \subset R_+ \times [0, 1]$. If $p \in [0, 1]$ is a m -periodic point of the map $T_1 : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$ ($T = (T_2, T_1)$), then*

1. $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$, where $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in R_+$ and $a_p \leq b_p$);
2. there exists $q \in I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ such that (q, p) is a m -periodic point of the map T .

Proof. Let $p \in [0, 1]$ be a m -periodic point of T_1 , i.e. $T_1^m(p) = p$. Denote by $S := T^m$ the mapping from $X_p := R_+ \times \{p\}$ into itself. Then, the semi-group dynamical system (X_p, S) is compactly dissipative and its Levinson center coincides with $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$. By Theorem 1.33 from [5] the compact set $I_p \subset R_+$ is connected and, consequently, there are $a_p, b_p \in R_+$ such that $a_p \leq b_p$, $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ and

$$U(m, p)[a_p, b_p] = [a_p, b_p], \quad (32)$$

where $T^m(q, p) = (U(m, p)q, T_1^m(p))$ for all $(q, p) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$. Since $U(m, p)$ is a continuous mapping from $[a_p, b_p]$ onto itself, then there exists at least one $q \in [a_p, b_p]$ such that $U(m, p)q = q$. It is evident that (q, p) is a m -periodic point of the mapping $T = (T_2, T_1)$. \square

Theorem 7. *For all $\rho < 0$ the dynamic system $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ admits a compact global attractor $J \subset R_+ \times [0, 1]$. If $p \in [0, 1]$ is a m -periodic point of the map $T_1 : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$ ($T = (T_2, T_1)$), then*

1. $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$, where $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in R_+$ and $a_p \leq b_p$);
2. there exists $q \in I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ such that (q, p) is a m -periodic point of the map T .

Proof. Assume $\rho \in (-\infty, 0)$ and let $\lambda = -\rho$, then $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$. We write T_1 in terms of λ

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, \omega) &= \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + (u^{-\lambda} + 1)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{-\lambda}} (s_w + s_r u^{-\lambda}) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + \left(\frac{1 + u^\lambda}{u^\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}} \left(\frac{s_r + s_w u^\lambda}{u^\lambda} \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + \left(\frac{u^\lambda}{1 + u^\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}} \left(\frac{s_r + s_w u^\lambda}{u^\lambda} \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + \frac{u}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}}} (s_r + s_w u^\lambda) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + \frac{u}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \frac{s_r + s_w u^\lambda}{1 + u^\lambda} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Note that $\frac{u}{(1+u^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, $\frac{s_r + s_w u^\lambda}{1+u^\lambda} \rightarrow s_w$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ and, consequently, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{u}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \frac{s_r + s_w u^\lambda}{1 + u^\lambda} \right| \leq M, \quad (34)$$

for all $u \in [0, +\infty)$.

Since $0 \leq \frac{1}{1+\omega} \leq 1$ for all $\omega \in [0, 1]$, then from (33) and (34) we obtain

$$0 \leq T_1(u, \omega) \leq \alpha u + M \quad (35)$$

for all $(u, \omega) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$, where $\alpha := 1 - \delta > 0$.

Since the map T is triangular, to prove the first statement of Theorem it is sufficient to apply Theorem 5. The second statement follows from the Lemma 2. \square

Remark 5. 1. It is easy to see that the previous theorem is true also for $\delta = 1$ because in this case $\alpha = 1 - \delta = 0$ and from (35) we have $T_1(u, \omega) \leq M, \forall (u, \omega) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$. Now it is sufficient to refer to Theorem 2.

2. If $\delta = 0$ the problem is open.

6.4 Existence of an attractor for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $s_r < \delta$.

The semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) is said to be:

- locally completely continuous if for every point $p \in X$ there exist $\delta = \delta(p) > 0$ and $l = l(p) > 0$ such that $\pi^l B(p, \delta)$ is relatively compact;
- weakly dissipative if there exist a nonempty compact $K \subseteq X$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$ there is $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, x) > 0$ for which $\pi(\tau, x) \in B(K, \varepsilon)$. In this case we will call K weak attractor.

Note that every semi-group dynamical system (X, Z_+, π) defined on the locally compact metric space X is locally completely continuous.

Theorem 8. [5] *For the locally completely continuous dynamical systems the weak, point and compact dissipativity are equivalent.*

Theorem 9. *For all $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $s_r < \delta$ the dynamic system $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ admits a compact global attractor $J \subset R_+ \times [0, 1]$. If $p \in [0, 1]$ is a m -periodic point of the map $T_1 : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$ ($T = (T_2, T_1)$), then*

1. $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$, where $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in R_+$ and $a_p \leq b_p$);
2. there exists $q \in I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ such that (q, p) is a m -periodic point of the map T .

Proof. If $\rho \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, \omega) &= \frac{1}{1+\omega} \left[(1-\delta)u + (u^\rho + 1)^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} (s_w + s_r u^\rho) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\omega} \left[(1-\delta)u + \frac{(u^\rho + 1)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{1+u^\rho} (s_w + s_r u^\rho) \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\omega} [(1-\delta)u + s_r u + \theta(u)u] \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\theta(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$. In fact $\frac{(u^\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{u} \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ while $\frac{(s_w+s_r u^\rho)}{1+u^\rho} \rightarrow s_r$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ and, consequently,

$$\frac{\frac{(u^\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{1+u^\rho}(s_w + s_r u^\rho)}{s_r u} = \frac{(u^\rho + 1)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{u} \frac{(s_w + s_r u^\rho)}{s_r(u^\rho + 1)} \rightarrow 1$$

as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, i.e. $\frac{(u^\rho+1)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{1+u^\rho}(s_w + s_r u^\rho) = s_r u + \theta(u)u$. From (36) we have

$$T_1(u, \omega) = \frac{1}{1+\omega} [(1 - \delta + s_r)u + \theta(u)u]$$

for all $(u, \omega) \in R_+^2$.

Since $s_r < \delta$ then $\alpha := 1 - \delta + s_r < 1$. Let $R_0 > 0$ be a positive number such that

$$|\theta(u)| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}, \quad (37)$$

for all $u > R_0$. Note that for every $(u_0, \omega_0) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$, with $u_0 > R_0$, the trajectory $\{T^n(u, \omega) \mid n \in Z_+\}$ starting from point (u_0, ω_0) at the initial moment $n = 0$, at least one time intersects the compact $K_0 := [0, h_0] \times [0, R_0]$, ($h_0 > h$). In fact, if we suppose that this statement is false, then there exists a point $(u_0, \omega_0) \in R_+ \times [0, 1] \setminus K_0$ such that

$$(u_n, \omega_n) := T^n(u_0, \omega_0) \in R_+ \times [0, 1] \setminus K_0 \quad (38)$$

for all $n \in Z_+$. Taking into consideration that $\omega_n \rightarrow h$ (or 0) as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain from (38) that $u_n > R_0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is a sufficiently large number from Z_+ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $n_0 = 0$ (if $n_0 > 0$ then we start from the initial point $(u_{n_0}, \omega_{n_0}) := T^{n_0}(u_0, \omega_0)$, where $T^{n_0} := T \circ T^{n_0-1}$ for all $n_0 \geq 2$). Thus we have

$$u_n > R_0 \quad (39)$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1+\omega} [\alpha u_n + \theta(u_n)u_n] \quad (40)$$

From (37) and (40) we obtain

$$u_{n+1} \leq \alpha u_n + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} u_n = \frac{1 + \alpha}{2} u_n \quad (41)$$

since $\frac{1}{1+\omega} \leq 1$ for all $\omega \geq 0$. From (41) we have

$$u_n \leq \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{2}\right)^n u_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (42)$$

but (39) and (42) are contradictory. The obtained contradiction proves the statement. Let now $(u_0, \omega_0) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$ be an arbitrary point.

- (a) If $u_0 < R_0$ and $u_n \leq R_0$ for all $n \in N$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n \leq R_0$;
- (b) If there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $u_{n_0} > R_0$, then there exists $m_0 \in N$ ($m_0 > n_0$) such that $(u_{m_0}, \omega_{m_0}) \in K_0$ (see the proof above).

Thus we proved that for all $(u_0, \omega_0) \in R_+^2$ there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that $(u_{m_0}, \omega_{m_0}) \in K_0$. According to Theorem 8 the semi-group dynamical system $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ admits a compact global attractor.

The second statement follows from the lemma 2. The theorem is proved. \square

6.5 Structure of the attractor

Lemma 3. *Suppose that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1. $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ is a triangular map admitting a compact global attractor $J \subset R_+ \times [0, 1]$;
2. $p \in [0, 1]$ is a periodic point of the map $T_1 : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$ ($T = (T_2, T_1)$);
3. there are two positive numbers \mathcal{N} and $q < 1$ such that

$$\rho(T^n(u_1, \omega), T^n(u_2, \omega)) \leq \mathcal{N}q^n \rho(u_1, u_2) \quad (43)$$

for all $(u_i, \omega) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $n \in N$.

Then then $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$, where $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in R_+$ and $a_p = b_p$, i.e. I_p consists a single point).

Proof. To prove this statement we note that from the conditions (43) and (32) we have

$$\text{diam}(J_p) = \text{diam}(T^{mk}(J_p)) \leq \mathcal{N}q^k \text{diam}(J_p) \quad (44)$$

for all $k \in N$. From the inequality (44) we obtain $\text{diam}(J_p) = 0$. Taking into consideration the equalities $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$ and (32) we obtain $a_p = b_p$. \square

Theorem 10. [9] *Let X be a compact metric space and $\langle (X, Z_+, \pi), (\Omega, Z_+, \sigma), h \rangle$ be a non-autonomous dynamical system. Suppose that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1. The point $\omega \in \Omega$ is almost periodic;
2. $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\pi(t, x_1), \pi(t, x_2)) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$.

Then there exists a unique almost periodic point $x_\omega \in X_\omega$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\pi(t, x), \pi(t, x_\omega)) = 0$$

for all $x \in X_\omega$.

Theorem 11. *Suppose that $\rho < 0$ and one of the following conditions hold:*

1. $s_w < \min\{\delta, s_r\}$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, where λ_0 is a positive root of the quadratic equation $(s_r - s_w)\lambda^2 + (s_r - 2\delta)\lambda - \delta = 0$;
2. $s_r < s_w < \delta$.

Then

1. *the semi-group dynamic system $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ admits a compact global attractor $J \subset R_+ \times [0, 1]$;*
2. *if $p \in [0, 1]$ is a m -periodic (respectively, almost periodic) point of the map $T_1 : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$ ($T = (T_2, T_1)$), then $J_p = I_p \times \{p\}$, where $I_p = [a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in R_+$ and $a_p = b_p$, i.e. I_p consists a single m -periodic (respectively, almost periodic) point).*

Proof. Assume $\rho \in (-\infty, 0)$ and let $\lambda = -\rho$, then $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$. We write T_1 in terms of λ (see the proof of Theorem 9)

$$T_1(u, \omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega} \left[(1 - \delta)u + \frac{u}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \frac{s_w + s_r u^\lambda}{1 + u^\lambda} \right].$$

Denote by

$$f(u) := \frac{u}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \frac{s_w + s_r u^\lambda}{1 + u^\lambda},$$

then

$$f'(u) = \frac{s_w + (-s_w \lambda + (\lambda + 1)s_r)u^\lambda}{(1 + u^\lambda)^{2+1/\lambda}}.$$

It easy to verify that under the conditions of Theorem $f'(u) < s_w$ for all for all $u \geq 0$. Consider the non-autonomous difference equation

$$u_{n+1} = A(\sigma(n, \omega))u_n + F(u_n, \sigma(n, \omega)) \quad (45)$$

corresponding to triangular map $T = (T_1, T_2)$, where $A(\omega) := \frac{1}{\omega+1}$, $F(u, \omega) := \frac{1}{\omega+1}f(u)$ and $\sigma(n, \omega) := T_2^n(\omega)$ for all $n \in Z_+$ and $\omega \in [0, 1]$. Under the conditions of Theorem we can apply Theorem 6. By this Theorem the semi-group dynamical system $(R_+ \times [0, 1], T)$ is compact dissipative with Levinson center J and there are two positive numbers \mathcal{N} and $q < 1$ such that

$$\rho(T^n(u_1, \omega), T^n(u_2, \omega)) \leq \mathcal{N}q^n \rho(u_1, u_2) \quad (46)$$

for all $(u_i, \omega) \in R_+ \times [0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$). To finish the proof of Theorem it is sufficient to apply the lemma 3 and theorem 10. \square

6.6 Conclusion

Under the conditions of Theorem 7 or 9 the mapping $T = (T_2, T_1)$ ($T_1 = f_\lambda$) admits a compact global attractor $J_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$. There exists a increasing sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that

1. $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\lambda_\infty \approx 3,569\dots$
2. If $\lambda_k < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$), then the map $T = (T_2, T_1)$ has at least one fixed point $(q_0, 0) \in J_\lambda$ and there is an 2^k -periodic point $(q_k, p_k) \in J_\lambda$.
3. For $\lambda \geq \lambda_\infty$ the set J_λ may be a strange attractor. For example, under the conditions of Theorem 11, for $\lambda = \lambda_\infty$ the attractor J_λ contains an almost periodic (but not periodic) minimal set.

References

- [1] Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E., *Complex dynamics in the neoclassical growth model with differential savings and non-constant labor force growth. Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*, vol.11, No.1., 2007.(to appear)
- [2] Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E., *Global attractor in Solow growth model with differential savings and endogenic labor force growth.* (submitted).
- [3] Brianzoni S., Mammana C. and Michetti E., *Triangular Growth Model with Logistic Population Growth: Routes to Complexity.* (submitted)
- [4] Bohm V. and Kaas L., *Differential savings, factor shares, and endogenous growth cycles.* Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 24, 2000, pp. 965-980.
- [5] Cheban D. N., *Global Attractors of Nonautonomous Dissipative Dynamical Systems. Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences 1.* River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2004, 528pp.
- [6] Cheban D. N. and Mammana C., Invariant Manifolds, Global Attractors and Almost Periodic Solutions of Non-autonomous Difference equations. *Nonlinear Analysis*, serie A, v. 56, No. 4, 2004, pp.465-484.
- [7] Cheban D. N. and Mammana C., Global Compact Attractors of Discrete Inclusions. *Nonlinear Analysis*, serie A, v. 65 , No. 8 , 2006, pp.1669-1687.
- [8] Cheban D. N., Mammana C. and Michetti E., Global Attractors of Non-Autonomous Difference Equations. *Submitted.*
- [9] Cheban D. N. and Mammana C., Compact Global Chaotic Attractors of Discrete Control Systems. *To appear.*
- [10] Chicone C. and Latushkin Yu., *Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [11] Chueshov I. D., *Introduction into the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dissipative Systems.* Acta, Kharkiv, 2002.
- [12] Cushing J. M. and Henson S. M., Global dynamics of some periodically forced, monotone difference equations. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* 7, 2001, pp.859-872.
- [13] Cushing J. M. and Henson S. M., A periodically forced Beverton-Holt equation. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, 8(12), 2002, pp.119-1120.
- [14] Halanay A. and Wexler D. *Teoria Calitativă a Sistemelor cu Impulsuri.* București, 1968.

- [15] Henry D. Geometric Theory of Semi-linear Parabolic Equations. Lect. Notes in Math. 840. - Berlin: Springer, 1981.
- [16] Kloeden P. E., On Sharkovsky's Cycle Coexistence Ordering, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 20 (1979), 171-177.
- [17] Kolyada S., On Dynamics of Triangular Maps of Square, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 12(1992), p.749-768.
- [18] Sharkovsky A. N., Maistrenko Yu. L. and Romanenko E. Yu. *Difference Equations and Their Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1993.
- [19] Sell G. R., *Topological Dynamics and Ordinary Differential Equations*. Van Nostrand-Reinhold, London, 1971.
- [20] Sharkovsky A. N., Kolyada S. F., Sivak A. G. and Fedorenko V. V., *Dynamics of One-Dimensional Maps*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Mathematics and its Applications, 407, 1997.
- [21] Solow R.M., A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70, 1956, pp. 65-94.

D. CHEBAN
Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
State University of Moldova
E-mail: *cheban@usm.md*

Received July 03, 2007

C. MAMMANA
Dept. of Economic and Financial Institutions
University of Macerata
Italy
E-mail: *mammana@unimc.it*

E. MICHETTI
Dept. of Economic and Financial Institutions
University of Macerata
Italy
E-mail: *michetti@unimc.it*